



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/851,361 05/08/2001		Robert E. Novak	4000.2.22	2394	
32641	7590	01/31/2006		EXAMINER	
•		DEL RIVES LLP	TRAN, HAI V		
201 SOUTH ONE UTAH		REET, SUITE 1100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111				. 2611	***

DATE MAILED: 01/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/851,361	NOVAK, ROBER	RT E.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit .	
	Hai Tran	2611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress
Period for Reply		ij	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 36(a). In no event, however, may a repril apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	communication.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 No	ovember 2005		
	action is non-final.		•
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		rs, prosecution as to th	e merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E		•	
Pionocition of Claims		·	
Disposition of Claims		•	•
4) Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the application.			•·
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>21-29,40 and 41</u> is/ar	e withdrawn from considera	ation.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		• .	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20 and 30-39</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement		•
are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			·
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	•	•	` '
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form P	TO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).	•
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	,,	(4) (2) (1)	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		• '
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Ap	plication No:	· :
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been re	eceived in this Nationa	l Stage
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not re	ceived.	•
		•	
Attachment(s)		,	
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	nmary (PTO-413)	
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date	*;;
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PT	O-152)

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of species Fig. 3, claims 1-20 and 30-39 in the reply filed on 11/07/2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claims 1-5, 8-16, 18-20, 30-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable by Ishida (US 6434171).

Claims 1 and 11, Ishida disclose a set top box (STB; Fig. 5) for decoding media streams from multiple sources 2 (Fig. 1; Col. 4, lines 20-35), the STB comprising:

a processor 67;

a hardware decoder 47, coupled to the processor 67, for decoding media streams:

Art Unit: 2611

a first stream receiver 42 configured to receive a media stream from a first source (Fig. 5, el. 41);

a second stream receiver 62 configured to receive a media stream from a second source (Fig. 5, el. 41); and a stream selector 45 (MPEG-2 transport demux) having first and second inputs (one from el. 44 and another from CPU 67) and an output (see output of el. 45), the first input coupled to the first stream receiver (see el. 42,43,44 with arrow go to 54), the second input coupled to the second stream receiver (see el. 62,67 with arrow go to 45), and the output coupled to the hardware decoder (see arrow from el. 45 to 47), wherein the stream selector is configured to selectively direct one of the media streams to the hardware decoder under control of the processor (Col. 8, lines 48-65+ and Col. 11, lines 10-30).

Claims 2 and 12, Ishida further discloses wherein at least one media stream comprises. Moving Picture Experts Group (MPEG) stream, and wherein the : hardware decoder comprises an MPEG decoder (see Fig. 5, el. 47).

Claims 3 and 13, Ishida further discloses wherein the first stream receiver comprises a video tuner (see Fig. 5, el. 42).

Claims 4 and 14, Ishida further discloses wherein the first source comprises a cable television source (See Fig. 1 and 7; CATV center).

Claims 5 and 15, Ishida further discloses wherein the second stream receiver comprises a modern device (see Fig. 5, el. 62).

Art Unit: 2611

Claims 8 and 18, Ishida further discloses wherein the stream selector comprises a multiplexer having a select line coupled to the processor (see Fig. 5, el. 45, 67).

Claims 9 and 19, Ishida further discloses an audio/video controller coupled to the hardware decoder for formatting media streams for presentation by an external display device (see Fig. 5, el. 48), and

an output coupled to the hardware decoder for providing operable connection to the external display device (see Fig. 5, el. 52, 53).

Claims 10 and 20, Ishida further discloses further comprising a storage device, coupled to the processor, for storing at least one media stream (see Fig. 5, el. 65/66).

Claim 30, Ishida discloses the STB comprising

Processing means 67;

Means 47, coupled to the processor 67, for decoding media streams;

Means 32 for receiving a media stream from a first source (Fig. 5, el. 41);

Means 62 for receiving a media stream from a second source (Fig. 5, el. 41); and

Stream selection means 45 (MPEG-2 transport demux) having first and second inputs (one from el. 44 and another from CPU 67) and an output (see output of el. 45), the first input coupled to the means for receiving a media stream from the 1st source (see el. 42,43,44 with arrow go to 54), the second input coupled to means for receiving a media stream from a second source (see

el. 62,67 with arrow go to 45), and the output coupled to the hardware decoder (see arrow from el. 45 to 47), wherein the stream selection means are configured to selectively direct one of the media streams to the hardware decoder under control of the processing means (Col. 8, lines 48-65+ and Col. 11, lines 10-30).

Claim 31 is analyzed with respect to claim 1.

Claim 32, Ishida further discloses wherein the receiver comprises a radiofrequency input coupled to a splitter 41, and the splitter comprises a first output coupled to the first processing path 42 and a second output coupled to the second processing path 61 (see Fig. 5).

Claim 33, Ishida further discloses wherein the first processing path comprises a video tuner 42 coupled to the first output of the splitter 41, and wherein the second processing path comprises a modern device 62 coupled to the second output of the splitter 41 (see Fig. 5).

Claim 34, Ishida further discloses wherein the decoder comprises a hardware-based decoder (Fig. 5, el. 47).

Claim 35, Ishida further discloses wherein the video and streaming media, signals are both encoded using a same technique (MPEG-2; see Fig. 5, el. 47), and

wherein the decoder includes capability to decode signals encoded using the same technique (MPEG-2; see Fig. 5, el. 47).

Claim 36, Ishida further discloses wherein the same technique comprises an MPEG encoding technique (see Fig. 7, el. 17).

Art Unit: 2611

Claim 38, Ishida further discloses wherein the receiver is integrated with a set top box (see fig. 5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 6-7, 17, 37 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishida (US 6434171).

Claims 6 and 16, Ishida does not disclose wherein the modem device comprises a Data Over Cable Service Interface Specification (DOCSIS) modem.

Official Notice is taken that the use of a DOSSIS modem is well known in the art for receiving high speed data over broadband network, such as CATV.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time

the invention was made to modify Ishida QPSK modem with a DOCSIS modem so to conform to standard of DOCSIS.

Claims 7 and 17, Ishida does not disclose, wherein the second source comprises an Internet Protocol (IP) source.

Official Notice is taken that transmitting Internet Protocol (IP) source along with CATV is well known in the art for allowing user able to access Internet data.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ishida to have a second source as Internet so to allow user to access Internet while watching TV.

Claim 37, Ishida does not disclose, wherein the same technique comprises a Digicypher encoding technique.

Official Notice is taken that video is compressed using various digital compression techniques, i.e., <u>Digicypher</u> is well known in the art for compressed video and audio images to be transmitted over high bandwidth channels. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ishida to encode data with Digicypher scheme so to take the advantage of transmitting compressed data over high-speed bandwidth channel, i.e., satellite beside of CATV network.

Claim 39, Ishida does not disclose wherein the receiver is integrated with a television set.

Official Notice is taken that integrating the receiver within a TV is well known in the art for simplification purpose. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to integrate Ishida receiver within a TV so to reduce cost and simplify manufacturing process.

Moreover, allow user to have a compact TV device.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/851,361 Page 8

Art Unit: 2611

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7305. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher C. Grant can be reached on (571) 272-7294. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HT:ht 01/20/2006

> HAITRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER